

## Marshall, A. (2017) 'Elizabeth Cromwell and Mary Hays', in Walker, G.L., ed. *The invention of female biography*. Abingdon: Routledge, pp. 218-240.

This is an Accepted Manuscript of a book chapter published by Routledge in '*The invention of female biography*' on 30/10/2017 available online at: <u>https://www.routledge.com/The-Invention-of-Female-Biography/Walker/p/book/9781848936003</u>.

# ResearchSPAce

http://researchspace.bathspa.ac.uk/

This pre-published version is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above.

Your access and use of this document is based on your acceptance of the ResearchSPAce Metadata and Data Policies, as well as applicable law:-<u>https://researchspace.bathspa.ac.uk/policies.html</u>

Unless you accept the terms of these Policies in full, you do not have permission to download this document.

This cover sheet may not be removed from the document.

Please scroll down to view the document.

When writing of Elizabeth Cromwell in her 'Female Biography' Mary Hays noted that:

Though an excellent housewife...[she] was capable of appearing with dignity in the station to which she was exalted, as wife of the lord protector. She took a profound interest in political affairs, and stimulated her husband in the career of ambition (1).

Even considering the important work of Anne Hughes, Sue Wiseman and, in particular, Laura Lunger Knoppers and Katharine Gillespie, the personality of the women who surrounded Oliver Cromwell, both in his youth and in his maturity has often eluded us (2). In creating her work however Mary Hays was able to raise crucial issues over these particular women that concern female individuality, politics, influence, historical presence, and character when she projected both the figures of Cromwell's wife, Elizabeth, his third daughter, Mary (who became Lady Falconberg), as well as his granddaughter Bridget Bendish, into three of the 'Illustrious and Celebrated Women' of their era within her 'Female Biography' volumes of 1803 (3).

While Hays draws these Cromwellian women individually into the conventions of the volumes of her 'Female Biography' they are in fact all figures in particular seventeenth-century historiographical landscapes that do provide an important background to her writing. Yet in Hays's biographies this is not so much the contemporary seventeenth-century accounts that are now available to the modern historian concerning the 'many pious, precious prudent, and sage matrons and holy women, with which this Commonwealth is adorned', but instead it lies within the conventions, language and tropes of the contemporary historiography of

L

Hays's own time which surrounded her work, as well as within her own particular political/social theories and reflections (4).

The historiographical context of these Cromwellian entries was formed, consciously or unconsciously, by the history of Rational Dissent, but also by the language of eighteenth-century 'classical republicanism' and the 'Commonwealth' tradition of the era (5). As such it has links with the societal, as well as political preoccupations and explanations that such a political language put forward into the public domain. Furthermore, these elements also connect with Mary Hays's own deliberate feminist political and social agenda. We may additionally note that Hays's understanding of the eighteenth-century historical world's established 'grand narrative' for the seventeenth-century crisis provides yet another arena for interpreting her work on these biographies (6).

It is clear however that these particular 'Cromwellian' biographies do raise additional questions. Not the least of these, for example, is the actual presence of the historical Elizabeth Cromwell in the 'Female Biography' in the first place, as well as the significant absence of her Royal counterpart in the era: Queen Henrietta Maria (7). For while Hays may well have claimed that Elizabeth Cromwell was an example of 'a woman who was possessed of an enlarged mind and an elevated spirit', her contemporary sources on Elizabeth for the biography she produced are strictly limited (8).

It is pertinent to ask therefore if Elizabeth's presence in the volume really lies perhaps in the contemporary status of her husband Oliver Cromwell in early nineteenth-century historiography? Even if it does, there is, fortunately, an alternative and more positive explanation to this question. For here it will be argued that Elizabeth Cromwell's presence in Hays's work served a different purpose than as merely a sop to early-nineteenth century trends in Cromwellian history. Instead the biography actually offers us a valuable insight into Hays's broader political and social aims and her theories, as well as her techniques as a philosopher. Regardless of this it must be said at the outset that ultimately the historical Elizabeth Cromwell has, and continues to be, a far too shadowy and obscure figure to grasp even for modern historians, and this is unlikely to change (9). Nevertheless, as has been noted above, it is arguable that in her work Hays while trying to find the 'real' Elizabeth has instead created a much more interesting and linguistically politicized 'vision' of a figure who could be labelled 'Elizabeth Cromwell', and centred as a female domesticated patriot, thereby using her as a means of creating yet another positive role model for her female readers (10).

Ш

To the majority of historians and biographers in the era of the later eighteenth and early nineteenth-century, Oliver Cromwell and his family, as well as the significance of the so-called 'Puritan' period were already fixed in ambiguity. They seemed to most historians and statesmen of Hays's day to be really only be there to deliver a moral lesson on political ambition and a serious warning of what could happen when fanatical religion broke into politics: civil war, destruction of property, regicide and despotism. Incontestably for the majority of Hays's contemporaries, one also only needed to glance over the Channel to see how the revolutionaries in France had performed in a similar manner with equally disturbing deeds in order to be reminded of the distracted England of the seventeenth-century

Page 3 of 27

(11). In fact to the establishment supporters of the inheritance of the so- called 'Glorious Revolution' of 1688 and the stable constitution that was engendered by that event, the Cromwellian period was far too 'radical' and far too 'tyrannical' a beast in both its nature and results to be anything but frowned upon. For this regime was seen as an historical aberration in the triumph of progress: a true interregnum in British history. It was a dark regicidal corner of the British past that could and indeed should not be dwelt upon for too long. Instead in its place stood the historically sound, moderate mixed constitution that had resulted from the events of 1688; balanced and quintessentially English in its moods, this 'Glorious Revolution' was to be taken as a far more reliable a guide for the road the French should have really travelled (12)

For both Whigs and Tories of the eighteenth-century the historical Oliver Cromwell remained a disturbing creature: the major 'fanatic' gone wrong; a 'kingkiller', military politician, breaker of parliaments, and a 'Machiavellian' hypocrite who had been mixed with far too much ambition and who had resorted to political violence to maintain his authority (13). Even for the more radical dissenters and radical political reformers of the day, who it might have been thought would have sympathetic to the non-conformist Cromwell, if not the iconoclastic 'king killer' and republican one, there was the problem of Oliver Cromwell's betrayal (14). For to them Oliver <u>did</u> indeed represent betrayal. Here was the man who in their eyes, mainly through the besetting sin of ambition and political deviousness, had effectively betrayed the 'good old cause' to its enemies and thereby lost both the republic and religious toleration; this betrayal had naturally overborne any of his

Page 4 of 27

'good' deeds. Consequently, the Cromwell of the pre-Thomas Carlyle era, into which Hay's work can be fitted, and its understanding of the seventeenth-century upheaval in which he lived, clearly saw Oliver Cromwell as a man singularly driven by ambition, who was inclined to tyranny and was, ultimately, a mere hypocrite in his religion, and someone who if he had any modern parallels at all were to found in the revolutionary leaders in France and the 'tyrant' Bonaparte (15). Generally Mary Hays follows this impression of Oliver Cromwell, with the language of her standard interpretation noting Cromwell's frequent 'usurpations and his hypocrisy' (16). It would indeed need all of the flamboyant rhetoric of Thomas Carlyle, and the now little remembered earlier nineteenth-century historians who proclaimed themselves admirers of Oliver, to re-invent Cromwell for the Victorian era and then to begin to create the new English image of Cromwell as a noble, morally upright Victorian Christian hero that is, in the popular imagination, occasionally at least, still with us (17).

Ш

Be that as it may, one of the major means for access for any biographer of the eighteenth- and early nineteenth-century such as Hays into the events of the seventeenth-century crisis of which Oliver and Elizabeth Cromwell and their offspring had played such a part was though the plethora of contemporary published primary sources of the period (18). Yet the 'grand narrative' into which such contemporary documents could be understood was also emerging at this time, most particularly through the work of David Hume in his 'History of England' (19). Everyone who was at all interested in the Stuart period had read Hume on the era, for he was really the first historian who captured the importance of the Stuart age for British history as a whole. Some might, rightly, claim that Hume actually invented and privileged the Stuart era's significance in the broad landscape of British history and furthermore that he opened out many of its modern lines of enquiry (20).

Hume's 'History of England from the invasion of Julius Caesar to the Revolution in 1688', was a major work of history that was set to dominate the field for some considerable time, at least until the later nineteenth-century (21). It explored, in some detail, both the causation of the civil wars and their results for the history of the nation. Additionally, the 'History' emerged into a reasonably popular and burgeoning contemporary public market for historical literature; much of whose readership was also female and middle-class, as Hume was only too well aware (22).

Moreover, Hume clearly had other aims in mind than just to write the story of the Stuart age, for as a Enlightenment philosopher he also sought to inspire 'virtue' within his readers (especially his female ones), and, if he could, replace the novel currently in their hands with his 'History'; not only to change their reading habits, but to actually use history as a central vehicle of polite communication in literary culture (23). One key to this aim, as Hays will have been well aware, given that she arguably adopted a similar methodology in her own writing of the biographies, was in the style and tone of Hume's writing about the past.

Hume's tone as a historian was in fact characterized by what David Wootton has astutely called that of the historian as an 'intelligent spectator' (24). This

Page 6 of 27

resulted in a remarkably modern flavour to his work, with Hume adopting an Olympian, and ostensibly neutral standpoint, to the events he described. He eschewed both the 'scissors and paste' style of writing often seen in other books of his day as well as the outright hostile and condemnatory moral tone found elsewhere (25). Instead Hume invited his readers on a grand narrative journey, with himself as a guide, in which character, interpretation, and meaning could all play happily with the factual evidence. Furthermore as equals in what could in some senses be called a 'virtual reality' vision of history, Hume made his readers themselves 'intelligent participants' in the events he described (26). One can detect in many of the biographies by Hays a similar aim for her readers. In general the subject matter in such historical works was however decidedly masculine: generally war, religion and diplomacy. Yet Hume's new view of the past was also to place an analysis of character and meaning within society and culture at the forefront of his text. This switch could also naturally appeal to both sexes and in addition readers could be thereby educated and enabled, allowing them to use history to enter into the polite public culture of thought and conversation of the day. Hume was very clear that his 'History' could particularly appeal to women. In their case, he said, it would give advantages 'to those who are debarred the severer studies, by the tenderness of their complexion', and because of the 'weakness' of their 'education'. It would ultimately assist in conversation, and history by itself, he noted, 'opens the door to many other parts' (27)

Clearly Hume's influence can be seen in Hays's work, for at the very least she tends to adopt some of Hume's views and could be said thereby to have also eagerly become one of Hume's 'true friends of virtue' in her writings as a result; this latter being one of the more singular aims Hume had for those reading his history (28). Hays notes, for example, that her own work 'Female Biography' was created for the benefit of her own sex, for their 'improvement' and for their 'entertainment', with 'pleasure to be mingled with instruction', as well as adding 'lively images, the graces of sentiment, and the polish of language' (29). Hume had noted that history had itself three obvious advantages for the reader: it can 'amuse the fancy'; it ' improves the understanding' and thereby it also 'strengthens virtue'. All elements, of course, which Hays agreed upon and which is illustrated by their mutual use of the phrase: 'truth and virtue'. And this was indeed a laudable aim for both writers. In addition while Hays arguably adapts Hume's historical standards for her work and thereby is able to serve, as she puts it, the 'cause of truth and of virtue', she does from a female, though not gender exclusive perspective (30). This is a particularly noticeable trait for her seventeenth-century entries.

IV

If Hays's work on these 'Cromwellian' entries is based on the 'philosophical history' and agenda of David Hume, it also continually links in with her own developed enlightened political and social discourse. This discourse emanates from her own reflections on the past, her present circumstances as a woman in Georgian England, and like many another philosopher of her day, it invokes the many 'traceelements', as it were, of older ideas, consciously or unconsciously, absorbed and filtered through Hays's years of didactical reading and associations. Her originality, of course, lies in the steady feminist interpretation that is the foundation, or

Page 8 of 27

*Grundrisse,* of her creative reflections as a biographer and the meditation of her sources. Within the context of this however there is a natural emphasis on both public and private, political and civil virtue, as well as domestic liberty, which within the Cromwellian biographies illuminates both her thoughts as a feminist philosopher and as a historian (31).

However, a further influence can be traced in Hays's background work, particularly within these seventeenth-century entries. That is the influence of 'republicanism' as a political language and the use of the historical ideas of Catherine Macaulay, <u>the</u> great female historical rival to Hume's interpretation of the period of the seventeenth-century crisis and someone who was herself a notable composer of a history of England written within the conventions of republican discourse.

Though a cloudy and sometimes obscure word in the era, republicanism had become, by the time Hays was writing, a political language rather than a political programme in the British context (32). Led by a 'Commonwealth' tradition, as well as a dissenting element, it spoke the language of freedom, liberty and virtue as well as independence of mind and provided a valuable means to critique the corruption and luxury lying at the heart of Georgian government (wealth, standing armies, commerce, passions, prejudices, as well as 'placemen' in parliament). Its essence also lay in the same 'virtue' that Hume had already preached and that Hays inclined towards. Its antithesis was perceived as self-interest and corruption, particularly in contemporary government, trade and commerce, where indeed its main targets lay (33). It also had roots in both humanist thought, and neo-classicalism, as well Renaissance thought and particularly in the ideals of civic humanism. The latter stressed action, liberty and participation in political life. The language used history,

Page **9** of **27** 

particularly the models of Roman republican history, to uncover the satisfactory systems of effective political participation, control and action. It used the values of a humanist education to reveal ideas and to educate individuals in such ideas. The result would be, or so it was hoped, a world dominated by 'the ideal of the virtuous independent citizen', with a mixed constitution that not only allowed 'virtue' to flourish, but even limited the effects of the inevitable process of entropy that lay deep within all societies (34).

Unfortunately the general view of republicanism in the eighteenth century was that it was a distinctively masculine identity that continued to orientate itself around the idea of the active citizen. This idea of the citizen was naturally seen in terms of the figures of the statesman and warrior, who purposely and actively engaged in both public politics and war. There would therefore be seemingly no role in such an obviously masculine world for female participation. In reality, women could even be roundly condemned in this republican world-view as the potential, or actual, fount of political corruption. For by feminising and distracting masculine society they could thereby lead it further into decay (35). The feminine and republicanism were meant not to mix, as women and politics were meant to be ideologically, if not practically, naturally exclusive (36).

Certainly the previously recognised site of action for the most notable of what were often labelled 'petticoat' politicians of the past, had been seen in the precincts of royal court where, as John Milton had once pointed out, they 'might grow to that insolence as to appeare active in state Affaires' – a certain sign, thought Milton, of a 'dissolute, degenerate, and pusillanimous Commonwealth' (37). The

Page **10** of **27** 

personal, sexual and political intermingled in such corrupt places. Yet seen within the perspective of the well-publicised contemporary domesticated virtues and as the guardians of the moral life of the home and hearth, women could ultimately find a place in this republican vision. Personal virtue and education could be used in the domestic arena to claim civic participation and citizenship. Women could access this virtue on the domestic front in order to reach the 'perfectibility of human reason'. Historically this was seen most obviously in the widespread legacy of ancient Republican Rome, where there were models of women- Roman matrons and 'worthies' -who indeed offered a particular way out of all of this negativity (38). This vision is certainly one that Hays plays with and indeed, as we shall see, especially takes into her portrait of Elizabeth Cromwell. Unquestionably therefore the brief biography of Elizabeth Cromwell created by Hays has traces of republican civic virtue within it and a virtue as practiced by a woman who is seemingly portrayed as worthy of standing next to the most noteworthy of Roman matrons. The latter characters being ones that Hays also deals with elsewhere in the 'Female Biography' (39).

Certainly, while the very idea of the active woman presented a singular challenge to the masculine version of the republican hero as it stood, there were, as Hays demonstrates, various examples who <u>could</u> be drawn upon from historical roll call of virtuous Roman matrons. This was found within an already embedded tradition of the 'worthy woman' – a principle of women who definably took upon themselves the mantle of the citizen though action (40). The idea of action in public was one of the major characteristics of the virtuous republican Roman hero and as J G A Pocock notes: 'The end of history is to lead examples of pubic virtue; the end of

Page 11 of 27

politics and liberty is to act on those examples and supply them' (41). Such biographies could therefore deliver a view of women as at least aspiring to the political, and they could also deliver texts that would prepare women in the relevant virtues of action, by creating both self- awareness and the correct stimulus that would enable them to imagine themselves as political entities (42). Moreover in their role as educators of statesman virtue was crucial

In any case it is this tradition of female worthies – especially those images of the 'model republican woman'- that Hays taps into in parts of her Cromwellian work. The purpose of republican history in her era still remained to educate warriors and statesmen into the mores of war and politics, but Hays's female biographies, which are written by a woman about women, could enable her to declare a form of moral and educated equivalence by using a republican worldview (43). Moreover, Hays had a variety of republican women to draw upon and these undoubtedly influenced the portraits of the Cromwellian women she wrote about. This was still however a contested discourse that, somewhat inevitably, revolved around the key elements of female sexuality and chastity: a debate that had existed as an on-going dialogue between the archetypal Christian female virtues of domesticity and motherhood as opposed to the pagan values of stoicism, rationality, morality and public action for some considerable time (44)

One example of the context of the model Republican woman lies in Hays's opening lines to her biography of Livia Drusilla, the wife of the emperor Augustus:

The characteristic of the Roman Nation was grandeur: its virtues, its vices, its prosperity, its misfortunes, its glory, its infamy, its rise and fall, were alike great. Even the women, disdaining the limits which barbarism and ignorance had, in other

nations, assigned to their sex, emulated the heroism and daring of man. Ambition is the passion and scourge of republics: where every thing is possible, every thing will be attempted; it is the glory of human nature, that necessity only can bound its efforts (45)

Here the emphasis is created by Hays drawing upon Jacques Roergas de Serviez's text 'The lives and amours of the emperesses, consorts to the first twelve Caesars of Rome &c' (1723) and Tacitus' Annals as her sources (46). It is, on one level, merely Hays's view of women overcoming the limits of society in Rome, but more significantly perhaps, as the reader should note, it an exemplar of women overcoming these same limits set up in the modern era. Indeed by the very emulation of the republican identifiers of female heroism and daring it would enable such modern women to engage in action themselves. Linked to the defence of the state moreover, the Tacitan 'rei publicae amor' could also provide a reflective model for the contemporary female reader to engage in the values of republican education and the public good through the patriotic enable them to act to sustain their male counterparts in the civic world as 'proto-citizens' (47). Lastly, the significance of the idea that 'where everything is possible everything will be attempted' was also a lesson for her female reader on the real merits of the republican virtue of action that could break boundaries. The fact that 'necessity' here remains the only real boundary to effort is naturally also noteworthy.

Hays's biographical entry on Porcia, wife of Brutus and daughter of Cato, further outlines the ideal that republican virtues are necessary for the female actor in the political world of the citizen. Porcia is depicted by Hays as self-trained in both mind and understanding and shows her worthiness, her fortitude and her courage-

her republican virtue- by a self -inflicted wound that was meant to show to her

husband that she was really worthy of his trust by the very pain she bore with

stoicism and fortitude and thus Porcia was, importantly, capable of giving him advice

on an equal level (48). By showing her strength of will she could state her

claim to expect, not merely the common courtesies and civilities of an ordinary wife or concubine, but to share in the thoughts and counsels, in the good and evil fortune, of her husband: and that, whatever weakness might be imputed to her sex, her birth, education, and honourable connections, had strengthened her mind, and formed her to superior qualities.

In turn her courage sustains him in his action (49)

Hays also quotes in the same biography, a friend of Brutus, who, it is said,

repeated from Homer the address of the Trojan princess to her husband— "Be careful, Hector, for with thee my all,

My father, mother, brother, husband, fall."

Brutus replied, smiling, 'I must not answer Porcia in the words of Hector to Andromache,

"Mind you your wheel, and to your maids give law."

For, if the weakness of her frame seconds not her mind, in courage, in activity, in concern for the cause of freedom, and for the welfare of her country, she is not inferior to any of us' (50)

The Republican message was in this case very clear and would particularly reappear

in the lives of Elizabeth Cromwell, Mary, Lady Falconberg and Bridget Bendish, for

the three women as Hays portrays them are women of republican action of various

kinds and in the case of Elizabeth Cromwell she also became the 'domesticated'

patriot hero who can express the values of civic virtue (51).

The most notable contemporary republican historian who undoubtedly

influenced Hays's work however was also female: Catherine Macaulay (52). To Hays,

Macaulay herself showed the elements in her character of the stoic Republican matron who was active in the polity around her; a pose that Macaulay partly constructed herself in her public character (53).

Certainly Macaulay's ideas in her history of the seventeenth-century were moral ones that would engage and enable her reader to act, or at the least to teach them to speak and write in turn in the wider polity. Her works naturally illuminate the upheavals of the seventeenth-century, but importantly they would also shine a light on those of the eighteenth-century. Consequently, Macaulay's synthesis of the idea of active citizenship and assertive individuality, as well as civil rights, would allow women like herself to work outside the formal political arena through moral choices in a wider polity that would ultimately aim to sustain or affect the nature of liberty via 'moral autonomy' (54). These moral choices could, of course, be 'gender neutral' as they are largely based upon the human elements of rationality, liberty and republican virtue – but they could contain within them the idea of women as 'proto-citizen'- the civic woman who was ready to assume the responsibilities that she was qualified to bear irrespective of her sex.

Unfortunately Macaulay's view of Oliver Cromwell was not a positive one, for she, like many of her contemporaries, merely saw Oliver as an important figure who had regrettably 'deprived his country of full and equal system of liberty' (55). He was, additionally, a hypocrite who had betrayed the English revolution of which Macaulay was a keen supporter. Instead she took the members of the Long Parliament as her <u>real</u> heroes of that age and as men who were already on the true

Page 15 of 27

path of revolution and democracy: John Pym, John Hampden and Algernon Sydney. They were patriotic heroes (56). This meant that Hays, who undoubtedly used Macaulay's work on the period she was writing about, also took up Macaulay's vision of Oliver as the dangerous hypocrite. Yet, Oliver's wife Elizabeth <u>could</u> be used in a different manner: to both correct the Protector's faults and to show the opportunities for a patriotic women in state affairs.

#### V

Mary Hays's vision of Elizabeth Cromwell is as a woman worthy and as a neo-Roman matron, as well as a proto-citizen and a 'domesticated' version of the patriothero (57). Her entry on Elizabeth Cromwell is a tightly written piece and links the known 'facts' of her day on Elizabeth with Hays's own opinions. This, as we know, was a usual part of Hays's method of work, where

each character [was] judged upon its own principles... the reflections, sparingly interwoven, have been such as naturally arose out of the subject (58)

The main characteristics of Elizabeth Cromwell that Hays reflects upon therefore are Elizabeth's 'enlarged mind and elevated spirit'. Both elements are seemingly ones that Hays found singularly lacking in Elizabeth's husband Oliver. Yet, such civic virtues, as these are, do allow Hays to place Elizabeth Cromwell on the same plain as the popular patriot heroes of Hays's own era, celebrated by Macaulay: John Hampden, John Pym and Algernon Sydney. Such individuals not only possessed pacific temperaments, they were republican 'patriots' in the true civic sense of the word, and importantly had not betrayed the revolution, like Oliver. They were 'modern' in their outlook. All of which meant of course that they could be all too easily absorbed into the pantheon of English liberties and provide suitable role models (59). In this instance, however, Elizabeth Cromwell's mixture of being 'an excellent housewife and [having] an exalted dignity', as described by Hays, also distinctively 'domesticated' the idea of the heroic patriot. For Elizabeth could adjust her personality to become a republican Roman matron, such as Livia or Porcia, and yet she was also modern enough to become flexible to occupy both the role and individual persona in the domestic arena when appropriate. Elizabeth Cromwell therefore proves to be the link between the nobility of 'housewifery' (the domestic arena preached by many of the contemporaries of Hays's own time) and the activity of political dignity (the citizen that lies within the republican discourse); the latter element also being seen as an essential of her life. Moreover, she was not merely written by Hays as a housewife, and thereby warped and constricted, but also as a 'politician', in the best sense of the word, and possessed both sensitivity and civic virtue enough to steadily influence her husband, rather like Porcia, through her own interest in politics and to stimulate him to act where she could not; rather in some respects like a more benign version of Lady Macbeth. So much so that Elizabeth Cromwell's subsequent 'prudent' retirement at the Restoration, mentioned by Hays at the end of her biography, then makes perfect sense. For prudence, another noticeably feminine republican virtue, enabled Elizabeth's retirement from the 'active' life at the opportune moment, like a female Cincinnatus, but who left behind her the image of a successful active citizen who could act as a model for future generations of women (60).

Arguably therefore Hays rejects the negative view of the 'petticoat 'politician for something much more subtle in her portrait of Elizabeth Cromwell (61.). It is significant however that what Elizabeth Cromwell is not someone who resembles Queen Henrietta Maria at all (62). In fact, the latter contemporary character, wife and Queen to Charles I, is a significant absentee from Hays's 'Female Biography' that needs some comment, especially in light of Elizabeth Cromwell's presence in the volumes (63). For here we have a Queen of England who seems to have been written out of Hays work, but was by anyone's account influential in the grand narrative of the period (64). Why was this? Clearly Henrietta Maria is missing mainly it seems because she was already considered a notable bad 'petticoat ' court politician that provided an opposite for all that Elizabeth Cromwell is meant to stand for. And because, we must presume Hays also follows Catherine Macaulay's line on the Queen, whose reputation, already tainted by her all too obvious Catholicism, was to the historian a bad one (65). Macaulay notes:

Thus, by these incendiaries, was the imagination of this weak woman the chief instrument to work effectively on the follies, prejudices, and vices of her husband, fed with hopes of power and conquest, to the stirring up all those bloody mischiefs which is the end proved so fatal to the deluded Charles (66)

#### VI

In her preface to the volumes, Hays made it clear she was 'Unconnected with any party, and distain[ed]... every species of bigotry' and that moreover she had 'endeavoured to serve the cause of truth and of virtue' in presenting her biographies. As this essay has shown, just how Hays actually represented these particular seventeenth-century women was not merely a reflection of the contemporary ideas of the female biography, but was also in a historical tradition that she mined, and has thus left behind it in her writings the trace elements of republican discourse and the historical grand narrative of the period. These particular biographies moreover were the outcome of both Mary Hays's mediation, reflections and reading of particular visions and historical views of the turbulent era of the seventeenth-century.

### (5296 words)

#### NOTES

1 M. Hays, <u>Female biography</u> (6 vols., 1803), III, 349. For Mary Hays's writing and life in general see G. Luria Walker, <u>The art of being free: a Mary Hays reader</u> (Toronto, 2006).

2 That Cromwell was, in his early life, surrounded and influenced by his female relatives, mother and sisters, is clear, see A. Fraser <u>Cromwell our chief of men</u> (2008 ed.), 5-6; W C Abbott, <u>The writings and speeches of Oliver Cromwell</u> (4 vols., 1937-47), I, 35-37; see also for women in the period: A Hughes, <u>Gender and the English revolution</u> (2011); S Wiseman, <u>Women, writing and politics in seventeenth-century England</u> (Oxford, 2006); L Lunger Knoppers, <u>Politicizing domesticity from Henrietta Maria to Milton's Eve</u> (Cambridge, 2014); L Lunger Knoppers, <u>Constructing Cromwell: ceremony, portrait and print, 1645-1661</u> (Cambridge, 2009); K Gillespie, <u>Domesticity and dissent in the seventeenth-century: English</u> women writers and the public sphere (Cambridge, 2009).

3 Elizabeth Cromwell's biography, which is the main concern of this particular essay, can be found in M. Hays, <u>Female biography</u> (1803), III, 349; the other important 'Cromwellian' entries are Mary, Lady Falconberg, IV, 326 and Bridget Bendish I, 290-92. Both the latter entries make interesting comparisons with Elizabeth Cromwell, but space precludes a detailed exploration of this. Hays's biographies should naturally be compared with the modern biographical entries on these women in the Oxford Dictionary of National Biography: Peter Gaunt, 'Cromwell [Bourchier], Elizabeth (1598–1665)', *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*, Oxford University Press, 2004; online edn, Jan 2008 [http://www.oxforddnb.com.bathspa.idm.oclc.org/view/article/65778, accessed 5 Aug 2015]; Peter Gaunt, 'Belasyse , Mary, Countess Fauconberg (*bap*. 1637, *d*. 1713)', *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*, Oxford University Press, 2004; online edn, Jan 2008 [http://www.oxforddnb.com.bathspa.idm.oclc.org/view/article/65780, accessed 5 Aug 2015]; Sidney Lee, 'Bendish , Bridget (1649/50–1726)', rev. Stuart Handley, *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*, Oxford University Press, 2004 [http://www.oxforddnb.com.bathspa.idm.oclc.org/view/article/2079, accessed 5 Aug 2015]; National Biography, Oxford University Press, 2004

4 . Cary, <u>The little horn's doom and downfall with a new and more exact mappe or</u> <u>description of new Jerusalem's glory</u> (1651), [ii].For a selected background to these elements see M Hays, <u>Appeal to the men of Great Britain in behalf of women</u> (1798); M. Hays, Letters and essays, moral and miscellaneous (1793, 1974 ed.); M Goldie and R Wokler (ed.), <u>The Cambridge history of eighteenth-century Political Thought</u> (Cambridge, 2006); G Kelly, <u>Women, writing and revolution 1790-1827</u> (Oxford, 1993); G. Luria Walker, <u>Mary Hays</u> (<u>1769-1843</u>): The growth of a woman's mind (Aldershot, 2006); G.S. Wood, 'Classical republicanism and the American revolution', <u>Chicago-Kent Law Review</u>, 66 (1990), 13-38; J. Barker-Benfield, Mary Wollstonecraft eighteenth century Commonwealthwoman', <u>Journal of the History of Ideas</u>, 50 (1989), 95-115; G Luria Walker, 'Women's voices', P. Clemit (ed.), <u>The Cambridge companion to British literature of the French revolution in the 1790s (</u>Cambridge 2011), 145-159; J Brewer, 'English Radicalism in the Age of George III', J G A Pocock (ed.), <u>Three British revolutions: 1641, 1688, 1776</u> (Princeton, 1980), 321-367.

5 On Rational Dissent and its influence see M R Watts, <u>The Dissenters, volume III, The</u> <u>expansion of evangelical Movements</u> (Oxford, 1995); A Goodwin, <u>The friends of liberty: the</u> <u>English democratic movement in the age of the French revolution</u> (1979); I Hampsher-Monk, 'British radicalism and the anti-Jacobins', M Goldie and R Wokler (ed.), <u>The Cambridge</u> <u>history of eighteenth-century political thought</u> (Cambridge, 2006), 668-672; R K Webb, 'The emergence of rational dissent', K. Haakonssen (ed.), Enlightenment and religion: rational dissent in eighteenth-century Britain ( Cambridge, 2006), 12-41.

6 For the grand narrative of the seventeenth century and its historical understanding see R. C Richardson, <u>The debate of the English revolution</u> (Manchester, 2011); N.Tyacke, 'Introduction: locating the 'English revolution', in N Tyacke (ed.), <u>The English Revolution</u>, <u>c.1590-1720 politics</u>, religion and communities (Manchester, 2007), 1-26.

7 Seventeenth-century Royalist satirists continually compared the two women at the time. See L Lunger Knoppers, <u>Politicizing domesticity from Henrietta Maria to Milton's Eve</u> (Cambridge, 2014), for full history of this. Elizabeth was invariably seen negatively in any case, see Mark Noble <u>Memoirs of the house of Cromwell</u> (3<sup>rd</sup> ed., 2 vols., 1787), I, 127 where she is noted as prone to 'gallantry, and a love of liquor'. Noble rejects these views and instead notes: 'though plain in her person...[Elizabeth Cromwell was], a virtuous and good woman', I, 128

8 Hays cites John Duncombe's two-volume edition of <u>A select collection of original letters;</u> written by the most eminent persons, on various entertaining subjects, and on many important occasions: from the reign of Henry the eighth, to the present time (1755) as her main source on Elizabeth Cromwell. However, there is very little on Elizabeth in this work for Hays to reference, which suggests that she is far more likely to have also consulted the standard Cromwellian family history of the day by Mark Noble Memoirs of the house of Cromwell (3<sup>rd</sup> ed., 2 vols., 1787), I, 123-128. Noble, for example, quoted one Granger as stating that Elizabeth was 'as deeply interested...in steering the helm, as she had done in turning the spit, and that she was as constant a spur to her husband in the career of his ambition, as she had been to her servants in their culinary employments'; a set of opinions, bar the inevitable culinary exploits when Elizabeth is mentioned, that is very close to Hays's version of her political influence given in her biography: M. Hays, <u>Female biography</u> (6 vols., 1803), III, 349. The earlier and very hostile James Heath in his Flagellum, or, The life and death, birth and burial of O. Cromwel[I], the late usurper, faithfully described with an exact account of his policies & successes: enlarged with many additions (1679), noted of Elizabeth that she was made the 'waiting woman of his [Cromwell's] providence, and lady rampant of his successful greatness, which she personated afterwards as imperiously as himself'; Heath quoted in Noble Memoirs p.125. Noble rejects these accusations and calls them 'false

representations'. See also W.C. Abbot, <u>The writings and speeches</u> of Oliver Cromwell, (4 vols., Cambridge, Mass., 1937–47).

9 For sources see Peter Gaunt, 'Cromwell [Bourchier], Elizabeth (1598–1665)', *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*, Oxford University Press, 2004; online edn, Jan 2008 [http://www.oxforddnb.com.bathspa.idm.oclc.org/view/article/65778, accessed 5 Aug 2015]; R. Boucher, 'Notes on the family of Elizabeth (Bourchier), wife of the protector, Oliver Cromwell', <u>The Genealogist</u>, new ser., 28 (1911–12), 65–75; L Lunger Knoppers, 'Opening the Queen's Closet: Henrietta Maria, Elizabeth Cromwell, and the Politics of Cookery', <u>Renaissance Quarterly</u>, 60 (2007), 464-99; L Lunger Knoppers, <u>Politicizing domesticity from Henrietta Maria to Milton's Eve</u> (Cambridge, 2014) also R. Sherwood, <u>The court of Oliver Cromwell</u> (1977)

10 P. Karsten, <u>Patriot heroes in England and America: political symbolism in changing values</u> <u>over three centuries</u> (1978), 23-53; M. Spongberg, <u>Writing women's history since the</u> <u>Renaissance (Basingstoke, 2002), 110; B. Worden Roundhead reputations: the English civil</u> <u>wars and the passions of posterity</u> (St Ives, 2002)

11 Most obviously in the work of Edmund Burke and Thomas Paine. See also H Ben-Israel, <u>English historians on the French revolution</u> (Cambridge, 1968). Even in France however the figure of Cromwell was not a neutral one, and fears that a new Cromwell or George Monck would ruin the Revolution led to *'Cromwellisme'* becoming a term of abuse' see J. Israel, <u>Revolutionary ideas: an intellectual history of the French Revolution from the Rights of Man</u> to Robespierre (Princeton, 2014), 682

12 For the heritage of 1688 see M Goldie, 'The English system of liberty', M Goldie and R Wokler (ed.), <u>The Cambridge history of eighteenth-century Political Thought</u> (Cambridge, 2006), 40-43; S. Pincus, <u>1688: the first modern revolution</u> (Yale, 2011), 474-486. G Holmes, ' Introduction: post –revolution Britain and the historian', G. Holmes (ed.), <u>Britain after the</u> <u>Glorious Revolution 1689-1714</u> (1969), 1-38. T Harris, <u>Revolution: the great crisis of the</u> <u>British monarchy, 1685-1720</u> (St Ives 2007); J Brewer, <u>Party ideology and popular politics at</u> <u>the accession of George III</u> (Cambridge, 1976); J Clark, <u>From Restoration to Reform: The</u> <u>British Isles, 1660-1832</u> ( St Ives, 2014); H T Dickenson, <u>Liberty and property: political</u> <u>ideology in eighteenth-century Britain</u> (Colchester, 1979).

13 R.C. Richardson (ed.), <u>Images of Oliver Cromwell: essays for and by Roger Howell jr</u> (Manchester, 2015), 64-65.

14 See Bodleian Library, MS ENG. c. 6759, 'Nineteenth-century Cromwell'.

15 Napoleon, naturally enough, disliked any comparison of himself to Cromwell and generally took his views of Oliver from David Hume's 'History of England'. See F. Hume (ed.), <u>Napoleon at St Helena: memoirs of General Bertrand, grand master of France, January to</u> <u>May 1821</u> (1953), 8-9. For more on Cromwell's later reputation on a number of levels see the essays in J A Mills (ed.), <u>Cromwell's legacy</u> (Manchester 2012).

16 M Hays, Female biography ( 6 vols. 1803, III, 395

17 One of these early nineteenth-century admirers of Cromwell was a mentor of Hays: William Godwin. See R. Adams, 'Mary Hays, disciple of William Godwin', <u>PMLA</u>55 (1940), 472-483. Godwin's positive image of Cromwell, or at least his attempt to explain him in his own time, can be found in W. Godwin, <u>History of the Commonwealth of England from its</u> <u>commencement to the Restoration of Charles II (</u>4 vols., 1828), IV 'Oliver, Lord Protector'. See also Bodleian Library, MS ENG. c. 6759, 'Nineteenth-century Cromwell' for the origins of the process of Cromwellian redemption, and Thomas Carlyle's letters and papers. Needless to say, Carlyle was his usual cutting self on Godwin's efforts: 'faithful, but as dead as iron'; 'Godwin I find rational, instructed, but cold, long-winded, dull as ditchwater': Thomas Carlyle to John Forster 11 December 1840 and 12 April 1839 in 'The Carlyle letters online, a Victorian Cultural Reference': <u>http://carlyleletters.dukejournals.org</u>. The Irish vision of Cromwell has different roots, of course, see T. Barnard, 'Irish Images of Cromwell', R.C Richardson (ed.), <u>Essays on Oliver Cromwell: essays for and by Roger Howell jr</u> (Manchester 2015), 180-205.

18 The volume that Hays claims as her source for her biography of Elizabeth Cromwell is a case in point; there are numerous other examples in this era of this historical genre of documenting the seventeenth-century.

19 D Hume , <u>History of England from the invasion of Julius Caesar to the Revolution in 1688</u> (6 vols., 1752-1762, 1983 ed.). It is a source that Hays had undoubtedly read and references in her entries for her biographies. For Hume as an historian see E C Mossner, <u>The Life of David Hume</u> (2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Oxford, 1980), 301-18; N Phillipson, <u>David Hume: The philosopher as historian</u> (St Ives, 2011), 70-99; D . Wooton, 'David Hume, 'the historian', D.F Norton (ed.) <u>The Cambridge companion to Hume</u> (Cambridge, 1993), 281-312.

20 ibid; N.Tyacke, 'Introduction: Locating the 'English Revolution', in N Tyacke (ed.), <u>The</u> <u>English Revolution, c.1590-1720, politics, religion and communities</u> (Manchester 2007),1-21.

21 By that stage Hume's work was being replaced by the more 'scientific' histories of Leopold v Ranke, <u>A history of England principally in the seventeenth-century</u> (6 vols., Oxford, 1875) and S R Gardiner, <u>History of England from the accession of James I to the outbreak of</u> <u>the civil war, 1603-1642</u> (10 vols., 1900). See also D Looser, <u>British women writers and the</u> <u>writing of history, 1670-1820</u> (Baltimore, 2000); L. Kamsmer, <u>Novel histories :British women</u> <u>writing history, 1760-1830</u> (Plymouth, 2012); T P Peardon, <u>The transition in English historical</u> <u>writing, 1760-1830</u> (New York, 1933); J W Burrow, <u>A liberal descent: Victorian historians and</u> <u>the English past</u> (Cambridge, 1981)

22 See D. Hume 'Essay VI :Of the study of history', D Hume ,<u>Essays, moral, political, and</u> <u>literary</u> (1742, 1987) Part III, http://www.econlib.org/library/LFBooks/Hume/hmMPL45.html

#### 23 <u>ibid</u>

24 D. Wootton, 'David Hume, 'the historian', D F Norton (ed.), <u>The Cambridge companion to</u> <u>Hume (Cambridge, 1993), 284-285</u>

25 Not that Hume, any more than any other historian of the day, really was neutral, he just disguised it better, see N Phillipson, <u>David Hume: The philosopher as historian</u> (St Ives, 2011), 59-63; 73-74; 89-98

26 The previous ideas on the narrative methodology of historical writing available were mostly taken from classical models and were frequently written by those who <u>had</u> actually been participants in the events they described. The prime instances of this for the seventeenth-century being the much cited Edward Hyde, earl of Clarendon's lengthy history

of his own participation in the so-called 'Great Rebellion', and Bishop Gilbert Burnet's history of his 'own times'. This was history as participation – a genre that had its roots in the classical historians of Greece and Rome.

27 D. Hume 'Essay VI :Of the study of history', D Hume <u>Essays</u>, moral, political, and <u>literary</u> (1742, 1987) Part III, http://www.econlib.org/library/LFBooks/Hume/hmMPL45.html

28 <u>ibid</u>

29 M. Hays, Female biography (6 vols., 1803), I, iv

30 ibid.

31 There is not space here to explore Hays's political theories in detail, though it is well worth the effort. Undoubtedly the basis of her ideas lies in both religion and philosophy, in particular, in the case of religion, through her connections to the ideas of Rational Dissent and especially in its encouragement of civil liberties. She has, moreover, a distinct aversion to 'tyranny', whether in religious, political or societal, or more specifically in domestic gender relations. Hays's ideas on the state have at their centre the contemporary ideas of state corruption that was itself somewhat drawn out of the neo- Harringtonian and contemporary Commonwealth and Jacobin thought of her day. One can also detect the influence of both Mary Wollstonecraft and Thomas Paine in her reading of the state. Wollstonecraft's impact can be seen in Hays's response to female sexuality as a political factor, her ideas of liberty, and education. Essential to this understanding are: M Hays, Appeal to the men of Great Britain in behalf of women (1798); M. Hays, Letters and essays, moral and miscellaneous (1793, 1974 ed.), 10-18; 96-100; G. Luria Walker, Mary Hays (1769-1843): the growth of a woman's mind (Aldershot, 2006), 61; 63-74. On Wollstonecraft see V Sapiro, A vindication of political virtue: the political theory of Mary Wollstonecraft (Chicago, 1992), 227-256; W Gunther-Canada, Rebel-Writer, Mary Wollstonecraft and Enlightenment politics (De Kalb, 2001); G Kelly, Revolutionary feminism: the mind of Mary Wollstonecraft (Basingstoke, 1996).

32 A wealth of literature exists on classical republicanism, see as examples J G A Pocock, <u>The Machiavellian moment</u>; Florentine political thought and the Atlantic republican tradition (Princeton, 2003); M van Gelderen and Q Skinner (eds.), <u>Republicanism: Volume 1</u>, <u>republicanism and constitutionalism in early modern Europe</u>: a shared European heritage: <u>republicanism and constitutionalism in early modern Europe</u> (Cambridge, 2 vols., 2005);P Ayres, <u>Classical culture and the Idea of Rome in eighteenth-century England</u> ( Cambridge, 1997); G S Wood, 'Classical republicanism and the American Revolution', <u>Chicago-Kent Law Review</u> 66 (1990), 13-37; D Wooton, 'Introduction: the Republicanism, liberty and <u>commercial society 1649-1776</u> (Stanford, 1994),1-12; A Oldfield, <u>Citizenship and community civic republicanism and the modern world (1990)</u>.

33 G S Wood, 'Classical Republicanism and the American Revolution', <u>Chicago-Kent Law</u> <u>Review</u> 66 (1990), 13-37

34 Hays shows this in her essay 'Thoughts on civil liberty', M. Hays, <u>Letters and essays, moral</u> and miscellaneous (1793, 1974 ed.), p.19.

35 A trait also detected in the criticisms of the 'feminising' of history and biography much complained of at the time. M Spongberg, <u>Writing women's history since the Renaissance</u> (Basingstoke, 2002), 109; 111; J. Barker-Benfield, Mary Wollstonecraft Eighteenth century Commonwealthwoman', <u>Journal of the History of Ideas</u>, 50 (1989), 109

36 For the practical side of female politics in the era see E Chalus, <u>Elite women in English</u> political life c1754-1790 (Oxford, 2005).

37 J Milton 'Eikonoklastes', J A St John (ed.) <u>The prose works of John Milton</u> (5 vols., 1848), I, 333. Catherine Macaulay also follows Milton's line by linking the royal court to a corrupted, degraded or 'feminized' viewpoint, thereby forming a weakened state. Through, of course, she was no supporter of monarchy in any case. Hays also has little time for the court seeing in it the 'corruption and trivialisation' of women and linked to a degraded sexuality, see G Kelly, Women, <u>Writing and revolution 1790-1827</u> (Oxford, 1993), 239.

38 P Ayres, <u>Classical culture and the Idea of Rome in Eighteenth-Century England</u> (Cambridge, 1997), 6

39 M. Hays, Female biography (6 vols., 1803), IV, 141-65; VI, 74-77

40 P Hicks, 'Women worthies and feminist argument in eighteenth-century Britain', <u>Women's History Review</u>, 24 (2015), 174-90

41 J G A Pocock, "Catherine Macaulay: Patriot Historian', H L Smith (ed.), <u>Women writers</u> and early-modern British political tradition (Cambridge, 1998) p.247

42 ibid, 247. As Pocock notes in Catherine Macaulay's case 'if women could not act, they could speak and write' and especially write history which retains for her the moral end of training for civic virtue. G Kelly, Women, <u>Writing and revolution 1790-1827</u> (Oxford, 1993), 239-43

43 M. Hays, Female biography (6 vols., 1803), IV, 41

44 P Hicks, 'The Roman Matron in Britain feminist political influence and republican response c.1740-1800', Journal of Modern History, 77 (2005), 35-69

45 M. Hays, Female biography (6 vols., 1803) IV ,41

46 Jacques Roergas de Serviez, <u>The lives and amours of the emperesses</u>, <u>consorts to the first</u> <u>twelve Caesars of Rome &c</u> (1723), pp.29-92; J C Yardley (translator), Tacitus, <u>The Annals</u>, <u>the reigns of Tiberius</u>, <u>Claudius</u>, and <u>Nero</u> (Oxford, 2008)

47 D S Levene (ed.), <u>Tacitus, The Histories</u> (Oxford, 2008), Book 1, 12 [9] and 2, 101 [115]; O. Devillers, 'The concentration of power and writing history: forms of historical persuasion in the *Histories* (1.1-49)', V E Pagan, <u>A companion to Tacitus</u> (Oxford, 2012), 166, 172. For the concept of the 'proto-citizen' linked to the idea of republican 'qualification', see K O'Brien, 'Catherine Macaulay's Histories of England: A female perspective on the history of liberty', S. Knott and B Taylor (eds.), <u>Women, gender and enlightenment</u> (Basingstoke, 2005), 533-534. 48 M. Hays, Female biography (6 vols., 1803), VI, p.75

49 <u>ibid</u>

50 M. Hays, Female biography (6 vols., 1803), VI, p.77

51 The idea of the domesticated patriot appears to encapsulate both elements of Hays's thought and conceptually allows both the internal (domesticated woman) and external (1790s revolutionary feminist) worlds of familial and civic virtues to meet within the compass of the patriotic Roman and 'modern' female model Hays' depicts. See M. Spongberg, <u>Writing women's history since the Renaissance (Basingstoke, 2002), 117-118</u>

52 For Hays's view of Catherine Macaulay see M. Hays, <u>Female biography</u> (6 vols., 1803), V, 287-306; also Bridget Hill, 'Macaulay , Catharine (1731–1791)', *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*, Oxford University Press, 2004; online edn, May 2012 [http://www.oxforddnb.com.bathspa.idm.oclc.org/view/article/17344, accessed 17 Aug 2015]. P. Hickes, 'Catherine Macaulay's civil war: gender, history and republicanism in Georgian Britain', <u>Journal of British Studies</u>, 41 (2002), 170-198; S Wiseman, 'Catherine Macaulay: history, republicanism and the public sphere', E. Eger, C Grant, C O Gallchoir, P Warburton (eds.), <u>Women, writing and the public sphere</u>, 1700-1830 (Cambridge 2006), 181-199.

53 Macaulay's education was also importantly for Hays that of an autodidactic: 'Having found her way into her father's well-furnished library [noted Hays], she became her own purveyor, and rioted in intellectual luxury. Every hour in the day, which no longer hung heavy upon her hands, was now occupied and improved'. Macaulay thus <u>chose</u> to lean towards republican civic virtue through her own deep reading and self-education from the Roman historians: 'As she advanced in age, her studies took a wider range; she grew attached to history, and dwelt with delight and ardour on the annals of the Greek and Roman republics. Their laws and manners interested her understanding, the spirit of patriotism seized her, and she became an enthusiast in the cause of freedom. The heroic characters and actions with which this period of history is intermingled and enlivened, seldom fail to captivate the affections of a youthful and uncorrupted heart' M. Hays, <u>Female biography</u> (6 vols., 1803), V, 290

54 Crucial to Macaulay's views is her introduction to volume I of her history where she sets out her ideas on liberty and moral choice. C Macaulay, <u>The History of England from the</u> <u>accession of James I to the elevation of the house of Hanover</u> (5 vols., 1769, I, pp.vii-viii; K O'Brien, 'Catherine Macaulay's Histories of England: A female perspective on the history of liberty', S. Knott and B Taylor (eds.), <u>Women, gender and Enlightenment</u> (Basingstoke, 2005), 532

55 C Macaulay, <u>The History of England from the accession of James I to the elevation of the house of Hanover</u> ( 5 vols., 1769), V, p.203. Although she had Cromwell down as one of the 'three men of spirit' in politics in volume II, p.244, her full negative view is to be found in volume V of her history and we can compare this with David Hume's view in <u>History of England from the invasion of Julius Caesar to the Revolution in 1688</u> (6 vols., 1983 ed.), VI, 55-110.

56 For Macaulay on John Pym see C Macaulay, <u>The History of England from the accession of</u> James I to the elevation of the house of Hanover (5 vols., 1769), IV, pp.92-94

57The actual Elizabeth Bourchier was born in 1598. She was the oldest daughter of the merchant and furrier sir James Bourchier (c.1574-1635) and Frances Crane. 'The family of Bouchier', Essex Archeological Society Transactions, NS II (1880), 206-208; R Boucher, 'Notes on the family of Elizabeth (Bouchier), wife of the protector, Oliver Cromwell', The Genealogist, NS, 28 (1911-12), 65-76). Elizabeth married Oliver Cromwell (1599-1658) the future Lord Protector on 22 August 1620 at St Giles Cripplegate, London. They had nine children, not all of whom survived into adulthood; it was, however, a successful and loving marriage. The family lived in Huntingdon until 1631, then in St Ives (1631-6), then in Ely (1636-46), and lastly in London. After April 1660 Elizabeth moved to Northamptonshire. Elizabeth Cromwell was marked with a generosity of spirit and engaged the sympathy of both family and friends and visitors to the Protectoral court. R. Sherwood, The court of Oliver Cromwell (1977); L. L. Knoppers, Constructing Cromwell: ceremony, portrait and print, 1645-1662 (2000); L L Knoppers, 'Opening the Queen's closet: Henrietta Maria, Elizabeth Cromwell, and the politics of cookery', Renaissance Quarterly, 60 (2007), 465-499. C V Wedgwood, 'The Cromwells at Whitehall', History Today, (1 September 1958), 591-597. Her promotion to Lady Protectoress, a role not found in the constitutions of the 1650s, was at first unwelcome, but she grew used to it. As a consequence Elizabeth was the subject of increasingly vicious satire from Royalists who labeled her not only sexually loose, but stingy, as well as spendthrift (a somewhat contrary combination), who also had a 'love of liquor' and was both proud and immodest. The Case is altered; or dreadful news from hell in a discourse between the ghost of this grand traytor and tyrant Oliver Cromwell and Joan his wife, at their late meeting near the scaffold on Tower-Hill (London 1660) [contains woodcut images of both Oliver and Elizabeth]; The court and kitchen of Elizabeth, commonly called Joan Cromwell (London, 1664) ; K Gillespie, 'Elizabeth Cromwell's kitchen court: republicanism and the Court', Genders 33 (2001), http://genders.org/g33/g33\_gillespie.html ;Edward Holberton, 'Soe Honny from the Lyon came': The 1657 wedding-masques for the protector's daughters', Seventeenth Century, XXI (2005), 97-112; Mark Noble, Memoirs of the house of Cromwell (1787), I, 123-128. She died in November 1665 - she was buried in Northborough Church in Northhamptonshire [19 November 1665] following a long and painful illness; British Library, Sloane 952, fos. 33, 96, medical prescriptions by Dr Goddard in the 1650s for Elizabeth Cromwell

58 M. Hays, Female biography (6 vols., 1803), 'Preface', vi

59 B. Worden <u>Roundhead reputations: the English civil wars and the passions of posterity</u> (St Ives, 2002) chapter 7.

60 For the important legend of Lucius Quintius Cincinnatus see T. Livy, <u>The History of Rome</u> book III. Mary, Lady Falconberg (Elizabeth and Oliver's daughter), is another woman marked with talent, spirit and beauty. Hays's vision here is bolstered by Bishop Burnet's version of Mary in which she is a wise and worldly woman and an active politician. Far more capable, it seems, and as Hays relates, than her brothers, to take on the political mantle of their father Oliver Cromwell. Falconberg's subsequent switch to the Royalist position is explained here again not just out of political prudence, but to enable her husband's survival in the civic arena: she acts because he cannot as a citizen. She also expresses her domestic virtue by being true to the established church and munificent in her charitable work. Once more the two elements of the domestic and public are linked by a republican discourse. Hays's biography of the last of the Cromwellian woman: Bridget Bendish, is in many ways more

remarkable than even her attempt at making Elizabeth Cromwell a domesticated patriot hero– for it illuminates both her techniques in writing and her interpretation and mediation on things Cromwellian. Bendish was Oliver Cromwell's granddaughter. She was a staunch defender of his reputation in her time, who, allegedly, was also not only supposed to physically resemble him but took after him in terms of her character. Hays takes the latter element as the real hook for her biographical entry on Bendish and also gives the reader an amalgam portrait that is at times more Oliver than Bridget. Yet Bridget becomes a 'character of eminence' in her own right, not just because of the power she wielded, for ultimately she actually wielded very little, but through the strength of her character that was drawn out of her grandfather and her neo-Roman values. For Hays's source in this case Bridget can only really been seen through Oliver. For Hays, Bridget becomes a civic persona her own right and she elides the idea of the 'great wicked woman' expressed in her source for a much more subtle portrait- that of a neo-Roman Matron and active citizen who embodied the stoic and moral virtues of the female worthy. M. Hays, <u>Female biography</u> (6 vols., 1803), I 290-292.

61 Hays also accesses David Hume's vision of an adaptive 'manly' virtue for this woman and expressed comparable views on similar types; see, for example Elizabeth I, a woman as a 'rational being'- crucially backed by the idea expressed by Francois Poullain de la Barre in 1673 : the 'mind has no sex'. See D. Hume <u>History of England from the invasion of Julius</u> <u>Caesar to the Revolution in 1688</u> (6 vols., 1983 ed.), IV, p. 353 for this summation of Elizabeth I; see also volume II, pp. 408-10 for Hume on Joan of Arc, 'an admirable heroine', p.410; and on the 'extraordinary women as shone forth during that period', p.236

62 For Henrietta Maria see Caroline M. Hibbard, 'Henrietta Maria (1609–1669)', Oxford Dictionary of National Biography, Oxford University Press, 2004; online edn, Jan 2008 [http://www.oxforddnb.com.bathspa.idm.oclc.org/view/article/12947, accessed 12 Aug 2015]).

63 Mary Hays notes in her preface that 'no character of eminence will in the following work, I trust, found to be omitted', so we must presume the queen was ignored or omitted deliberately. M. Hays, <u>Female biography</u> (6 vols., 1803), I, 'Preface', iii. The queen is also missing from Hays's, <u>Memoirs of the queens illustrious and celebrated</u> (1821)

64 The pairing of the two women are, as has been noted above, a contemporary phenomenon: L Lunger Knoppers, <u>Politicizing domesticity from Henrietta Maria to Milton's</u> <u>Eve</u> (Cambridge, 2014), pp.18, 37, 115, 119, 121.

65 See Caroline M. Hibbard, 'Henrietta Maria (1609–1669)', *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*, Oxford University Press, 2004; online edn, Jan 2008 [http://www.oxforddnb.com.bathspa.idm.oclc.org/view/article/12947, accessed 12 Aug 2015]).

66 C. Macaulay, <u>The History of England from the accession of James I to the elevation of the house of Hanover</u> (5 vols., 1767), III, 300